

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/596,164   | 06/01/2006  | Soren Karlsson       | AWEK 3472           | 3043             |  |
| 7812 77590 07722/2008<br>SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C.<br>16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | SWINEHART, EDWIN L  |                  |  |
| BEAVERTON  | I, OR 97006 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 3617                |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                      | 07/22/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 10/596,164      | KARLSSON ET AL. |              |  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |              |  |  |  |
| Ed Swinehart    | 3617            |              |  |  |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

| earned patent | term adjustment. | See 37 | CFR 1.704(D). |  |
|---------------|------------------|--------|---------------|--|
|               |                  |        |               |  |

| - Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | Interview is Convicted, "ROUNT THE WALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION."  Standard time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), inn oevent, however, may a ruply be timely find provided to the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a), inn oevent, however, may a ruply be timely find of the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a), inn oevent, however, may a ruply be timely find the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a), inn oevent, however, may a ruply be timely find the provision of the provisi |
|--|--|
| Status                                       |  |
| 1)🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/17/2008.   |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |
| Disposit                                     | ion of Claims  |
| 4)🛛  | Claim(s) 19-39 is/are pending in the application.  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |
|  | Claim(s) <u>19-39</u> is/are rejected.   |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |
| 8)∐  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |
| Applicat                                     | ion Papers   |
| 9)   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |
| 11)  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).<br>The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |
| Priority (                                   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |
| 12)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |
| a)   | ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |
|  | <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>   |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |
| - ;  | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Attachmen                                    | t(s)   |
|  | te of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  te of Draftsnerson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.   |
| 2) Notice Notice                             | ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Ar≱ lication  |
|  | r No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/596,164

Art Unit: 3617

## DETAILED ACTION

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 19-39 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-24 of copending Application No. 10/596,163 (20070051114). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because moving of fluid by use of a pump is considered to have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

 Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Application/Control Number: 10/596,164
Art Unit: 3617

Applicant states that the rejection based upon double patenting will be addressed upon the copending application proceeding to issue. Such is considered a concession by applicant that such rejection is proper, and therefore such is maintained.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-6688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,164 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ed Swinehart/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617